

EXHIBIT 2

Hearing

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

Page 2	Page 4
<p>1 APPEARANCES (Continued):</p> <p>2 MCKENNA, LONG & ALDRIDGE, LLP</p> <p>3 CASS W. CHRISTENSON, ESQ.</p> <p>4 DEREK AUITO, ESQ.</p> <p>5 CORMAC CONNOR, ESQ.</p> <p>6 1900 K Street, N.W.</p> <p>7 Washington, D.C. 20006</p> <p>8 for Plaintiffs</p> <p>9 RICHARDS LAYTON & FINGER</p> <p>10 ANNE SHEA GAZA, ESQ.</p> <p>11 One Rodney Square</p> <p>12 Wilmington, Delaware 19801</p> <p>13 for Defendant Tatung Co.</p> <p>14 GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP</p> <p>15 FRANK MERIDETH, ESQ.</p> <p>16 MARK KREISMAN, ESQ.</p> <p>17 2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E</p> <p>18 Santa Monica, California 90404</p> <p>19 for Defendant Tatung Company of America, Inc.</p> <p>20 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP</p> <p>21 JAMES D. HEISMAN, ESQ.</p> <p>22 1007 North Orange Street</p> <p>23 Wilmington, Delaware 19899</p> <p>24 for Defendant Viewsonic Corporation</p> <p>BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP</p> <p>SCOTT R. MILLER, ESQ.</p> <p>MANUEL NELSON, ESQ.</p> <p>355 South Grand Avenue</p> <p>Los Angeles, California 90071-3106</p> <p>for Defendant Viewsonic Corporation</p>	<p>1 him a new trial date for March the 10th. Although there</p> <p>2 was an earlier date available, in light of the</p> <p>3 conversation I had with Judge Farnan, he thought that</p> <p>4 the date of March 10 made more sense.</p> <p>5 (Discussion off the record.)</p> <p>6 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: The other question</p> <p>7 that you asked me to raise with the Court was motions in</p> <p>8 limine and how Judge Farnan would intend to handle that,</p> <p>9 and given the trial date, he would handle those in the</p> <p>10 normal fashion, and that would be I expect he would</p> <p>11 handle them in conjunction with a pretrial conference.</p> <p>12 He gave me no date for that yet because he</p> <p>13 was going to be waiting for us to ramp up dates, if you</p> <p>14 will, before assigning a pretrial date to the date that</p> <p>15 he gave me.</p> <p>16 The other question was the question of</p> <p>17 dealing with inequitable conduct, and it is my</p> <p>18 understanding that he will assign additional trial days</p> <p>19 for that part of the trial and he would do that at the</p> <p>20 end. I think those were the two questions that you</p> <p>21 wanted me to raise with him, and I did that.</p> <p>22 MR. CHRISTENSON: Your Honor, we appreciate</p> <p>23 the update. And I think the only other question, from</p> <p>24 our perspective, is there are some questions or issues</p>
Page 3	Page 5
<p>1 MR. KIRK: Richard Kirk from The Bayard Firm</p> <p>2 here in Wilmington for the plaintiff LG Phillips, LCD</p> <p>3 Company, Ltd. With me on the line from Washington, from</p> <p>4 the firm of McKenna, Long & Aldridge, are my colleagues,</p> <p>5 Cass Christenson, Cormac Connor.</p> <p>6 MR. CONNOR: Yes, and Derek Auito.</p> <p>7 MR. AUITO: For the court reporter, that's</p> <p>8 A-u-i-t-o.</p> <p>9 MR. HEISMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor.</p> <p>10 Jim Heisman from Connolly Bove on behalf of ViewSonic</p> <p>11 Corporation. With me on the line are Scott Miller and</p> <p>12 Manuel Nelson also from Connolly Bove.</p> <p>13 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thanks very much.</p> <p>14 MS. GAZA: Your Honor, for the Tatung</p> <p>15 defendants, it's Anne Gaza at Richards, Layton. With me</p> <p>16 on the phone, I believe, are Frank Merideth and Mark</p> <p>17 Kreisman from Greenberg Traurig.</p> <p>18 MR. MERIDETH: I don't think that Mark has</p> <p>19 joined us as of yet.</p> <p>20 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Should we proceed?</p> <p>21 MR. MERIDETH: Yes, your Honor.</p> <p>22 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Let me first bring</p> <p>23 everyone up-to-date on what I was able to have with</p> <p>24 Judge Farnan earlier today. I was able to confirm with</p>	<p>1 that we have concerning inequitable conduct and to what</p> <p>2 extent it is an issue in the case, and, so, I don't know</p> <p>3 if you have any guidance for us on whether those --</p> <p>4 whether submissions regarding inequitable conduct</p> <p>5 defenses are something that should be directed to you or</p> <p>6 to the Court in the first instance?</p> <p>7 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I did not ask that</p> <p>8 question, but I can certainly circle back in short order</p> <p>9 and raise that question with the Court.</p> <p>10 MR. CHRISTENSON: And would it be helpful,</p> <p>11 your Honor, if we submitted to you our view of what the</p> <p>12 issues are that need to be addressed so that you could</p> <p>13 discuss that?</p> <p>14 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I think that would</p> <p>15 be helpful.</p> <p>16 MR. CHRISTENSON: We can do that.</p> <p>17 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Does everyone agree</p> <p>18 to that process? Does anyone disagree?</p> <p>19 MR. MERIDETH: I am not exactly sure what</p> <p>20 the request is.</p> <p>21 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I expect the</p> <p>22 request is to find out from the Court whether the Court</p> <p>23 will be handling any application that deals with whether</p> <p>24 inequitable conduct will be part of the trial or whether</p>

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 it will be something that the Court would not permit; 2 didn't I understand that, Mr. Christenson? 3 MR. CHRISTENSON: That's correct, your 4 Honor. 5 MR. MERIDETH: I see. Okay. I understand. 6 No problem with that, then. 7 MR. MILLER: We don't have a problem either. 8 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I think the sooner 9 that that is done the better we will be in terms of 10 fashioning the scheduling order that will bring us to 11 the trial date. 12 Can I expect or are you in a position to 13 tell me that that trial date fits with everyone's 14 schedule at this point? 15 MR. CHRISTENSON: Your Honor, we could 16 certainly check promptly on our end with the people who 17 would be impacted by that and confirm back to you, if 18 that's acceptable. 19 MR. MERIDETH: I believe that I can do 20 exactly the same thing. 21 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay. 22 MR. MILLER: We can do the same thing. 23 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: We are scheduled, I 24 believe, for another conference later in the week.</p>	<p>1 sense against that trial date. Okay? 2 MR. MILLER: Very well, your Honor. 3 MR. MERIDETH: Yes. 4 MR. CHRISTENSON: Yes. 5 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Now, I did receive 6 correspondence, I guess earlier today, from Mr. Heisman 7 involving your meet and confers to finally resolve 8 orders that I entered in the last -- in the -- during 9 the course of the last teleconference. I do not believe 10 that I received anything other than Mr. Heisman's 11 correspondence. 12 MR. CHRISTENSON: Yes, your Honor. This is 13 Cass Christenson for LPL. I believe that counsel for 14 ViewSonic had submitted to you copies of three letters 15 exchanged last week and we had hoped to speak with 16 ViewSonic on these issues but that has not happened as 17 of yet. 18 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: What's everyone's 19 pleasure? I mean, I can certainly listen to what you 20 have to say if you think that's going to be productive. 21 I can suggest that you continue to have some further 22 discussion and we can do this on Friday. I just want to 23 be productive in terms of the time that we would take, 24 whether it's today or Friday.</p>
Page 7	Page 9
<p>1 MR. MILLER: On Friday, your Honor. 2 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Friday at 3:30. 3 Can it be done by that -- if it can be done sooner than 4 that, that's great so I can communicate with the Court 5 before Friday. If it has to wait until Friday, so be 6 it, and then I will communicate with the Court as soon 7 as our conference is over. 8 MR. MILLER: Are you saying, by "it," 9 meaning the acceptability of the March trial date or 10 some information about the position papers? 11 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: The trial issues. 12 MR. MILLER: Certainly, we can get you our 13 position papers by Friday. 14 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Can everyone else 15 do that as well? 16 MR. MERIDETH: Yes, your Honor. 17 MR. CHRISTENSON: Yes, your Honor. We 18 should be able to do that by Friday. 19 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay. Then that's 20 fine. And perhaps what we should be doing, then, and if 21 you would even communicate among yourselves in advance 22 of Friday with respect to that date. We are going to 23 want to use some time on Friday to re-craft the 24 deadlines that we have in the case so that they all make</p>	<p>1 MR. MILLER: I am happy to do either if LPL 2 believes some further communications would be useful. I 3 am just concerned that Friday, if we don't start until 4 3:30, we may not have enough time to deal with the two 5 pending matters that were on calendar then, the two 6 scheduling orders and then this issue as well. I don't 7 know if there is a chance to start earlier on Friday. 8 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Let me check that. 9 Hold on one sec. I certainly can start earlier. I can 10 start as early as 1:30. 11 MR. CHRISTENSON: I think we are available 12 starting any time at 1:30 or thereafter. 13 MR. MILLER: 1:30, we could do the 14 California equivalent of 1:30. 15 MR. MERIDETH: That's acceptable. 16 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I guess the 17 representation that Mr. Miller was looking for, 18 Mr. Christenson, was whether you believe that further 19 conversation would be productive. 20 MR. CHRISTENSON: I think it would, and our 21 client has been -- we have been working, obviously, with 22 our client to try to expedite the process of finding the 23 appropriate documents and producing them. The intent 24 that we have is to provide the documents that are</p>

Hearing

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 sufficient to show the types of information we discussed</p> <p>2 during the June 28 hearing, and we have, essentially,</p> <p>3 identified two types of documents that we think would</p> <p>4 provide that information, and I think that the only real</p> <p>5 question at this point is whether the -- for how many</p> <p>6 different products should we be producing that</p> <p>7 information? So, I am happy to talk about that now with</p> <p>8 you or I am happy to talk about that with Mr. Miller.</p> <p>9 I think that if we treat your March -- I am</p> <p>10 sorry, your June 28 rulings as the rulings that would</p> <p>11 trigger a time for us to submit anything to the Court,</p> <p>12 our submission to the Court, if we were unable to</p> <p>13 resolve the issues, would be due on this Friday.</p> <p>14 I view that only as a last resort, but I</p> <p>15 wouldn't want to waive any rights by pushing our</p> <p>16 conference with your Honor until Friday.</p> <p>17 So, that's the only concern I have about</p> <p>18 having further discussions and postponing the issues</p> <p>19 until Friday. But I do think it might be useful for us</p> <p>20 to have a chance to talk among counsel about the scope</p> <p>21 and what our proposal encompasses because I really think</p> <p>22 that we should be able to work this issue out.</p> <p>23 I just don't want to waive any of my</p> <p>24 client's rights, obviously.</p>	<p>1 to resolve by Friday, that ends the issue. If it's a</p> <p>2 function of my having to make some determination,</p> <p>3 ultimate determination, the exception would run from the</p> <p>4 time that I make an ultimate determination. I think</p> <p>5 that's a fair way to approach it because my orders were</p> <p>6 certainly entered. The record, I hope, is clear in</p> <p>7 terms of the reason for those orders, and I expect that</p> <p>8 what was being done between then and now was some</p> <p>9 compromise in light of the discussion of the burden of</p> <p>10 it all.</p> <p>11 Is that a fair way to characterize where we</p> <p>12 are at this point?</p> <p>13 MR. CHRISTENSON: Yes, your Honor.</p> <p>14 MR. MILLER: Yes, your Honor.</p> <p>15 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: So I don't think</p> <p>16 any rights are being compromised, and I don't -- I don't</p> <p>17 think it's -- I don't think I need to give -- we need to</p> <p>18 get into a discussion about what happens if exceptions</p> <p>19 are ultimately taken.</p> <p>20 So, with that, we will convene at -- I think</p> <p>21 1:30 will give us plenty of time to address what is</p> <p>22 outstanding unless you think a start time -- if we need</p> <p>23 an additional half hour, 1:00 works for me as well.</p> <p>24 MR. CHRISTENSON: Your Honor, do you have</p>
Page 11	Page 13
<p>1 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Mr. Miller.</p> <p>2 MR. MILLER: Your Honor, I am obviously not</p> <p>3 looking for LPL to waive rights. The one question this</p> <p>4 brings to mind is whether the orders that we are talking</p> <p>5 about are entered under the scheduling order powers that</p> <p>6 were given to Your Honor that allowed for an appeal to</p> <p>7 proceed but did not stay the production of the</p> <p>8 discovery.</p> <p>9 If we are in a situation where pursuing an</p> <p>10 appeal to the District Court is going to further stay</p> <p>11 the discovery, then, obviously, we need to get that</p> <p>12 process moving sooner rather than later. If that's not</p> <p>13 the case, then I would -- I don't think you had ever</p> <p>14 explicitly discussed whether these were under those</p> <p>15 powers or the original powers that dealt more broadly</p> <p>16 with discovery which where those -- that discovery would</p> <p>17 be stayed by such an appeal.</p> <p>18 If we are not going to have that further</p> <p>19 potential for delay, then I don't have a problem putting</p> <p>20 off the day for an appeal.</p> <p>21 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: We have not</p> <p>22 discussed that, and I don't know whether we need to</p> <p>23 discuss that now. I think what would make some sense,</p> <p>24 in light of what you are all saying, is if you are able</p>	<p>1 any sense of what time you would like to conclude by on</p> <p>2 Friday?</p> <p>3 THE COURT: I am hoping we can conclude</p> <p>4 certainly at 5:00 if not long before that.</p> <p>5 MR. CHRISTENSON: I would think that 1:30</p> <p>6 would give us plenty of time. That's my view.</p> <p>7 MR. MILLER: I'd be happy with that as well.</p> <p>8 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay. Let's</p> <p>9 reconvene at 1:30 on Friday.</p> <p>10 (The hearing was adjourned at 3:48 p.m.)</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>

Page 14

1 CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF DELAWARE:

3 :

4 NEW CASTLE COUNTY:

5 I, Renee A. Meyers, a Registered Professional
6 Reporter, within and for the County and State aforesaid,
7 do hereby certify that the foregoing teleconference was
8 taken before me, pursuant to notice, at the time and
9 place indicated; that the teleconference was correctly
10 recorded in machine shorthand by me and thereafter
11 transcribed under my supervision with computer-aided
12 transcription; that the foregoing teleconference is a
13 true record; and that I am neither of counsel nor kin to
14 any party in said action, nor interested in the outcome
15 thereof.

16 WITNESS my hand this 10th day of July A.D. 2007.

17

18 _____
19 RENEE A. MEYERS
20 REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
21 CERTIFICATION NO. 106-RPR
22 (Expires January 31, 2008)
23
24